

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> November 09, 2010
<b>Received:</b> November 08, 2010
<b>Status:</b> Posted
<b>Posted:</b> November 09, 2010
<b>Tracking No.</b> 80b84d6f
<b>Comments Due:</b> November 08, 2010
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736  
Draft Chesapeake Bay Total Maximum Daily Load

**Comment On:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0001  
Clean Water Act Section 303(d): Notice for the Public Review of the Draft Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) for the Chesapeake Bay

**Document:** EPA-R03-OW-2010-0736-0427  
Comment submitted by Harriette Phelps, University of the District of Columbia (DC)

---

## Submitter Information

**Submitter's Representative:** Harriette Phelps  
**Organization:** University of the District of Columbia (DC)

---

## General Comment

My comments are as a professor studying the origins of EPA Priority Pollutants in the highly contaminated Anacostia River. EPA's Priority Pollutants are known persistent toxic chemicals that bioaccumulate in fish, birds etc e.g. Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs), Pesticides like DDT and Chlordane and Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. These EPA Chesapeake Bay Pollutants are NOT being addressed in the Draft TMDL. The Draft TMDL misleadingly calls nitrates and phosphates Pollutants when their historic designation is Nutrients. Sediment is also misleadingly called a Pollutant. The reasons for this deceptive and incorrect naming appears to be mostly political. The result is to bypass and not deal with EPA toxic pollutant problems in the Chesapeake Bay. EPA toxics will persist in the Bay and the Draft does not pass the scientific smell test.